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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
BCJ - Quarterly Monitoring & Compliance Report
(October – December 2016)

During the period October – December 2016, Seventeen (17) Notices of Breach of Licence were issued to nine (09) broadcast radio licensees, six (06) broadcast television licensees and two (2) to subscriber television licensees. The number of breaches were thirteen (13) more than that of the previous quarter, July - September - 2016.

Ninety-seven (97) contacts were recorded for the period October – December 2016. Of this number, three (03) were complaints, which resulted in investigations of broadcast radio, television and subscriber television operators. Two complaints were about content, while the other related to technical standards. There was a decrease of ten (10) when compared with the previous quarter, July - September 2016.

The remaining ninety-four (94) contacts consisted of queries, requests and comments about other operational issues pertaining to licensed operators and the work of the Commission.

Eleven (11) subscriber television licensees were inspected during the period under review, to determine their compliance with technical standards.

In relation to the payment of the annual licence fee, twenty-two (22) subscriber television (STV) operators, or 47% of all licensees, paid in full; twelve (12) or 25% made partial payments and thirteen (13) or 28%, were non-compliant. There was an increase of one (1) in the number of full payments recorded, when compared to the previous quarter. The number of licensees who made partial payments increased by two (2) and there was a decrease of three (3) in the number of non-compliant licensees.
INTRODUCTION

Contacts with the Commission

Ninety-seven (97) contacts were recorded for the period, October – December 2016. There were three (3) investigations and seventeen (17) Notices of Breach of Licence.

BREAKDOWN OF CONTACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Queries, Requests, Complaints and Reports:</th>
<th>October 2016</th>
<th>November 2016</th>
<th>December 2016</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult with DigiPlay</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor STV Technical Service</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive Broadcast Rights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Free-to-air Television Technical Service</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Process</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operational issues</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Commission investigated two (2) complaints relating to the broadcast of problematic content and one relating to a technical issue by way of tampering. The number of complaints investigated decreased by ten (10) when compared to the period July - September 2016.

Table 1 details the complaints investigated relating to content standards from October to December 2016, and the nature of the investigations conducted by the Commission.

Figure 1 illustrates the trend in content standards complaints investigated in the comparable reporting periods since 2013.
Table 1

Content Standards Complaints Investigated: October – December 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Transmission of an expletive during the airing of a Manning Cup Football match.</th>
<th>Tampering with another STVO’s equipment</th>
<th>Transmission of content containing profanity.</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVM Television Limited</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digicel Jamaica Limited</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Digicel Play)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Broadcasting Company Limited (Hot 102 FM)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Complaints listed above include allegations that may not have necessarily resulted in findings of breach.*
Figure 1:

CONTENT STANDARD COMPLAINTS INVESTIGATED
Trends for Quarter (October - December) from 2013 to 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADIO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE

TECHNICAL MONITORING

The facilities of eleven (11) subscriber television operators were inspected to determine their compliance with the technical standards set out in law.

Table 2 - lists the subscriber television licensees that were inspected and the areas of technical non-compliance identified.

Table 2: Technical Monitoring: October– December 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LICENSEES INSPECTED</th>
<th>NON-COMPLIANCE DETECTED</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Advance Cable Cable Systems Limited</td>
<td>17(4)(d); 17(4)(e); Breach of Licence (2)</td>
<td>Letter dated December 16, 2016 sent to licensee with a one (1) month deadline to address breach of licence (2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CTL Limited</td>
<td>17(4)(d); 17(4)(e)</td>
<td>Letter dated December 16, 2016 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cable One Jamaica Limited</td>
<td>17(4)(e); 17(4)(d)</td>
<td>Letter dated January 24, 2017 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. First Choice Cable Service Limited</td>
<td>17(1)(b); 17(1)(h), Breach of Licence (1)</td>
<td>Letter dated January 24, 2017 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Inntech Communications Limited</td>
<td>17(1)(h); 17(4)(e)</td>
<td>Letter dated December 16, 2016 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Nem’s Electric and Satellite Limited.</td>
<td>17(1)(b); 17(1)(h); 15(a); 16(1); Breach of Licence 1</td>
<td>Breach letter dated December 15, 2016 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Network Cable Services Limited</td>
<td>17(1)(b); Breach of Licence 1</td>
<td>Letter dated January 24, 2017 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. QES 46 Limited</td>
<td>17(4)(d); 17(4)(e)</td>
<td>Letter dated January 24, 2017 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Quality Cable Services Limited</td>
<td>17(1)(b); 17(4)(d); 17(4)(e); 17(4)(d); 17(1)(b); 17(4)(d); 17(4)(e); 17(1)(h); 24(2); 24(4); 17(4)(c)</td>
<td>Letter dated December 14, 2016 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Vere Cable Network Company Limited</td>
<td>17(1)(a); 17(1)(b); 17(4)(d); 17(4)(e); 17(1)(h)</td>
<td>Letter dated December 14, 2016 sent to licensee.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NB: Breach of Licence [2]: Non-provision of service in licensed zones(s)

Breach of Licence [1]: Operating a non-addressable system.
TECHNICAL COMPLAINTS INVESTIGATIONS

For the period under review, there was one (01) complaint relating to the technical quality of subscriber television service. There were no complaints for preceding, July – September 2016, period

Figure 2 illustrates the trend in technical standard complaints received in similar reporting periods since 2013.

![Figure 2](image)

**TECHNICAL STANDARDS REPORT**
*Trends for Quarter (October– December) from 2013 to 2016*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADIO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMPLAINTS RESOLUTION

Except for matters which fall outside of the Commission’s mandate, all reports of possible breaches of licence are investigated. As soon as investigations are completed, the complainants and the relevant licensees are notified of the outcome, and the Commission considers the matter resolved.

Seven (07) investigations were resolved between October – December, 2016.

Table 3
Resolution of Complaints by Licensee Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensee Type</th>
<th>No. of investigated complaints resolved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subscriber Television</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast Television</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast Radio</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4
Resolution of Complaints by Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closed - Complied with required remedial action for breach of licence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Broadcast Radio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Broadcast Television</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed - No Evidence of Breach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Broadcast Radio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Further Remedial Action Required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Broadcast Radio</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Broadcast Television</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FINANCIAL COMPLIANCE

STV operators are required to pay an annual licence fee of five percent (5%) of their gross subscription income. Payment is made quarterly and is calculated using data from Quarterly Returns submitted by STV licensees.

Aggregate payment performance is illustrated in Figure 3.

Figure 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licence Fees</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Payment</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Payment</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Compliant</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full Payment  - 47%
Partial Payment  - 25%
Non-Compliant  - 28%
## STV Licensees Financial Compliance

For quarter ended December 31, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LICENSEES</th>
<th>SUBMISSION OF AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR YEARS:</th>
<th>LICENCE FEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Advance Cable Systems Ltd.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Astra Technology Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cable One Jamaica Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Cabletron Network Systems Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Central Clarendon Cable Ltd.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Central Communication Services Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Columbus Communications - FLOW</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Combined Communications Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Communicable Ltd.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Cornwall Communications Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 CTL Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Digicel Jamaica (formerly Telstar Cable Ltd)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Direct Cable Systems Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 First Choice Cable</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Gemini Cable Network (formerly Silly Video Cable Network Ltd)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 General Satellite Network Company</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Guthrie's Communications Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Horizon Entertainment &amp; Communication</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Inntech Communications Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Jamaica Cablevision Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Linscom Network Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Logic One Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Marimaxx Communications Ltd.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# STV Licensees Financial Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LICENSEES</th>
<th>SUBMISSION OF AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR YEARS:</th>
<th>LICENCE FEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Mars Cable Vision Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 McKoy Cable Television Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Mikes Electronics &amp; Cable Network Ltd.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Modern Re-Broadcasting Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Nems Electrical &amp; Satellite Ltd.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Network Cable Service</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Odyssey Cable Vision Limited</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Procables Network Limited</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 QES 46 Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Quality Cable Service</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Rural Cable Company Limited</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Santastic Cable Systems Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Somane Pesole Communications Ltd.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Starcom Cablevision Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Stars Cable Company Ltd.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 St. Thomas Cable Network Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Summit Satellite Systems Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Total Cable</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Tru Star Cable Television Network</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Unique Vision Cable Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Venus Cable Services</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Vere Cable Network Limited</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Westar Communications Limited</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Wilson Enterprises Limited</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTICES OF BREACH

During the period under review seventeen (17) Notices of Breach were issued to licensees. Fifteen (15) of these notices arose from contravention of Content Standards and two (2) arose from contravention of Technical Standards.

Figure 4

NOTICES OF BREACH
TREND IN BREACHES COMMITTED (BY SERVICE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADIO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6

**BREACHES BY LICENSEES**

**BREACHES BY BROADCAST RADIO LICENSEES**

**GROVE BROADCASTING COMPANY LIMITED**

**ZIP 103FM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE OF BREACH:</th>
<th>October 7, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPLAINT NUMBER:</td>
<td>2016060200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE OF COMPLAINT:</td>
<td>Airing of song with graphic sexual content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE OF BREACH:</td>
<td>Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMISSION DECISION:</td>
<td>Licensee in breach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMEDIAL ACTION:</td>
<td>None—Commission accepted license’s internal remedial action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATUS:</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INDEPENDENT RADIO COMPANY LIMITED**

**POWER 106 FM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE OF BREACH:</th>
<th>October 7, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPLAINT NUMBER:</td>
<td>2016090600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE OF COMPLAINT:</td>
<td>Inappropriate coarse language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE OF BREACH:</td>
<td>Breach of the Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMISSION DECISION:</td>
<td>Licensee in breach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMEDIAL ACTION:</td>
<td>Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATUS:</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MOTHER IN CRISIS**

**SUN CITY RADIO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE OF BREACH:</th>
<th>October 7, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPLAINT NUMBER:</td>
<td>2016080801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE OF COMPLAINT:</td>
<td>Airing of song with strong sexual theme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE OF BREACH:</td>
<td>Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMISSION DECISION:</td>
<td>Licensee in breach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMEDIAL ACTION:</td>
<td>Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATUS:</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RADIO JAMAICA LIMITED
FAME 95M

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016062100
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of song with profane and indecent language
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the TSBR & the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016070400
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of sexually themed song
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

ST. BESS RADIO FM COMPANY
BESS FM 100

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016080802
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of song with strong sexual theme
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

KOMMERCIAL SUITES LIMITED
MEGA JAMZ 98 FM

DATE OF BREACH: November 8, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016091200
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of sexually graphic content
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open
DATE OF BREACH: November 8, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016092300
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of profane and indecent language
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the Code and the TSBR
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied.
STATUS: Open

S&B COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED
FYAH 105 FM

DATE OF BREACH: November 10, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016092901
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of song with profanity
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

BREACHES BY BROADCAST TELEVISION LICENSEES

CVM TELEVISION LIMITED
CVM TV

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016080300
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of Adult-themed material
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of scheduling requirements of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016080900
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of coarse and vulgar language
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirement of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016080900
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of coarse and vulgar language
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirement of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology, Licensee complied
STATUS: Open
DATE OF BREACH: November 08, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016092900
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Use of alcoholic beverage on Culinary Show
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Licensee directed to issue apology. Licensee complied
STATUS: Open

TELEVISION JAMAICA LIMITED

TVJ

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016051700
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of profanity during live boxing match
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the TSBR & the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: None – Commission accepted licensee’s internal remedial action
STATUS: Closed

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016081900
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of advertisement with sexually suggestive material and adult themes
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the scheduling requirements of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach.
REMEDIAL ACTION: None – Licensee was cautioned
STATUS: Closed

DATE OF BREACH: October 7, 2016
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 2016082400
NATURE OF COMPLAINT: Airing of portrayal of a suicide attempt during soap opera
NATURE OF BREACH: Breach of the Rating and Advisory requirement of the Code
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: None- Licensee was reminded to rate and provide appropriate advisories for all content it broadcasts.
STATUS: Closed
TECHNICAL BREACHES BY SUBSCRIBER TELEVISION LICENSEES

ASTRA TECHNOLOGY LIMITED

DATE OF BREACH: November 7, 2016
NATURE OF BREACH: Failure to maintain technical standards
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Awaiting licensee’s response
STATUS: Open

CENTRAL CLARENDON CABLE LIMITED

DATE OF BREACH: November 7, 2016
NATURE OF BREACH: Failure to maintain technical standards
COMMISSION DECISION: Licensee in breach
REMEDIAL ACTION: Awaiting licensee’s response
STATUS: Open

** ‘Date of Breach’ is meant to indicate the date on which the Commission made a determination that there was a contravention by the licensee, pursuant to Section 20 of the Broadcasting and Radio Re-diffusion Act**